

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION - DETROIT

MOOSE M. SCHEIB,

Case No. 21-42581-mar  
Chapter 7  
Hon. Mark A. Randon

Debtor

/

KELLY SILVERA JARRETT

Plaintiff,

Adv. Proc. No. 21-04167-mar

v.

Hon. Mark A. Randon

MOOSE SCHEIB, a/k/a MUSTAPHA SCHIEB  
a/k/a MUSTAPHA CHEAIB,

Defendant.

/

**DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND  
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S CORRECTED COMPLAINT**

NOW COMES Moose M. Scheib ("Defendant"), by and through his attorneys, OSIPOV BIGELMAN, P.C., and states as follows:

**Jurisdiction**

1. Neither admit nor deny for lack of knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the matter.
2. Neither admit nor deny for lack of knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the matter.
3. Denied, as untrue.

4. Admit as true.

**Background Facts**

5. Neither admit nor deny for lack of knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the matter.

6. Admit, as true.

7. Neither admit nor deny for lack of knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the matter.

8. Neither admit nor deny for lack of knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the matter.

9. Neither admit nor deny for lack of knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the matter.

10. Neither admit nor deny for lack of knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the matter.

11. Neither admit nor deny for lack of knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the matter.

12. Neither admit nor deny for lack of knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the matter.

13. Denied, as untrue.

14. Denied, as untrue.

15. Denied, as untrue.

16. Denied, as untrue.

**COUNT I**  
**CONVERSION**

17. No Response required.

18. Denied, as untrue.

19. Denied, as untrue.

20. Denied, as untrue.

21. Denied, as untrue.

22. Denied, as untrue.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court deny the relief sought and that Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed with prejudice.

**COUNT II**  
**UNJUST ENRICHMENT**

23. No Response required.

24. Denied, as untrue.

25. Denied, as untrue.

26. Denied, as untrue.

27. Denied, as untrue.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court deny the relief sought and that Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed with prejudice.

**COUNT III**  
**FRAUD AND MISREPRESENTATION**

28. No Response required.

29. Denied, as untrue.

30. Denied, as untrue.

31. Denied, as untrue.

32. Denied, as untrue.

33. Denied, as untrue.

34. Denied, as untrue.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court deny the relief sought and that Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed with prejudice.

**COUNT IV**  
**BREACH OF CONTRACT**

35. No Response required.

36. Denied, as untrue.

37. Neither admit nor deny for lack of knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the matter.

38. Denied, as untrue.

39. Denied, as untrue.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court deny the relief sought and that Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed with prejudice.

**AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

The Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of some of the allegations contained in the Complaint. Until the Defendant avails himself to his right to discovery in this proceeding, he cannot determine whether the following Affirmative Defenses will be asserted at trial or earlier by way of appropriate motion. These defenses, however, are included in the Answer to the Complaint in order to preserve the intention of the Defendant to assert these defenses and to avoid waiver of these or any other available defenses.

1. Failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
2. The complaint was filed untimely.
3. Conversion, Unjust Enrichment, Fraud and Misrepresentation, and Breach of Contract are not core proceedings.
4. Plaintiff failed to attach the alleged contract.
5. Plaintiff cannot maintain a claim for conversion as the necessary elements are missing as this was simply an investment with risk where funds were lost.
6. Plaintiff has failed to establish the necessary intent for its fraud claims.
7. Plaintiff has failed to plead fraud with particularity.

8. Plaintiffs' reliance was not reasonable.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: August 26, 2021

**OSIPOV BIGELMAN, P.C.**

BY: /s/ Jeffrey H. Bigelman  
JEFFREY H. BIGELMAN (P61755)  
Attorney for Defendant  
20700 Civic Center Drive, Suite 420  
Southfield, MI 48076  
(248) 663-1800  
[jhb@osbig.com](mailto:jhb@osbig.com)

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION - DETROIT

MOOSE M. SCHEIB,

Case No. 21-42581-mar  
Chapter 7  
Hon. Mark A. Randon

Debtor

/

KELLY SILVERA JARRETT

Plaintiff,

Adv. Proc. No. 21-04167-mar

v.

Hon. Mark A. Randon

MOOSE SCHEIB, a/k/a MUSTAPHA SCHIEB  
a/k/a MUSTAPHA CHEAIB,

Defendant.

/

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, hereby certify that on August 26, 2021, I served the following Paper: Defendant's Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Corrected Complaint on the following parties at these addresses by the CM/ECF Filing System upon:

**JOHNSON LAW, PLC**  
*Solomon M. Radner, Esq.*  
E-mail: [sradner@venjohnsonlaw.com](mailto:sradner@venjohnsonlaw.com)

Dated: August 26, 2021

**OSIPOV BIGELMAN, P.C.**

BY: /s/ Monique Kallabat  
MONIQUE KALLABAT  
Legal Assistant  
20700 Civic Center Drive, Suite 420  
Southfield, MI 48076  
(248) 663-1800  
[mk@osbig.com](mailto:mk@osbig.com)